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## Announcements

- Scribe for Next two lectures
- Vaibhav Pandey, Dhananjay, Susrita: $23^{\text {rd }}$ Lec
- Extra Class on this Thursday, 24 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Jan at 2 PM


## Recap

- Understand corpus data at word level
- Uneven Distribution with long tail
- Zipf's and Mandelbrot's Laws to describe this distribution
- Collocation
- What is it and its characteristics
- How to Find them
- Frequency based approach
- Frequency with linguistic knowledge in form of syntactic patterns


## Objective

- Continuing with ways to find collocation
- Deal with collocation at a distance
- Making sure observation is not random
- Hypothesis Testing Methods

Finding Collocation

## Pros and Cons of Frequency + Syntactic Pattern Filter

- Advantages
- Simple method
- Disadvantages
- Too much dependency on hand-designed filter
- High frequency can be random without any specific meaning
- Works well for fixed phrases but will not work for cases where variable number of words may exist between two words
- Example
- She knocked on his door
- They knocked at the door
- 100 women knocked on Donaldson's door
- a man knocked on the metal front door


## Sliding window could be savior

## Sentence:

man knocked on the front door
Bigrams:
man knocked man on

knocked on \begin{tabular}{l}
man the <br>
knocked the <br>
on the

$\quad$

knocked front knocked door <br>
on front on door <br>
the front

$\quad$

the door <br>
front door
\end{tabular}

Four word collocational window to capture bigrams at a distance

## Mean and Variance

- Can implicitly take care of varying distance issue
- Method
- Calculate mean of offsets (signed distance) between the two words.

She knocked on his door
They knocked at the door
100 women knocked on Donaldson's door
a man knocked on the metal front door

- Mean, $\bar{d}=1 / 4(3+3+5+5)$
[Donaldson's tokenized as : Donaldson, apostrophe, s]
- Variance, $s^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(d_{i}-\bar{d}\right)^{2}}{n-1}$

| $s$ | $\bar{d}$ | Count | Word 1 | Word 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.43 | 0.97 | 11657 | New | York |
| 0.48 | 1.83 | 24 | previous | games |
| 0.15 | 2.98 | 46 | minus | points |
| 0.49 | 3.87 | 131 | hundreds | dollars |
| 4.03 | 0.44 | 36 | editorial | Atlanta |
| 4.03 | 0.00 | 78 | ring | New |
| 3.96 | 0.19 | 119 | point | hundredth |
| 3.96 | 0.29 | 106 | subscribers | by |
| 1.07 | 1.45 | 80 | strong | support |
| 1.13 | 2.57 | 7 | powerful | organizations |
| 1.01 | 2.00 | 112 | Richard | Nixon |
| 1.05 | 0.00 | 10 | Garrison | said |

Table 5.5 Finding collocations based on mean and variance. Sample deviation $s$ and sample mean $\bar{d}$ of the distances between 12 word pairs.


Position of strong with respect to opposition ( $\bar{d}=-1.15, s=0.67$ ).



Figure 5.2 Histograms of the position of strong relative to three words.

## Issues with Mean \& Variance Approach

- Unable to differentiate with chance cases
- Why this is happening?
- High frequency of individual words, hence likely to co-occur together quite often


## Hypothesis Testing: Mitigating the chance issue

- Objective: Whether the observation is significantly different than just being a random event
- Objective in our case: whether words occur together more frequently than they would have occurred together by chance
- Steps are
- Formulate Null Hypothesis, $\boldsymbol{H}_{\underline{0}}$ ́ model random event appropriately
- Decide Significance Level: Probability of rejecting $\underline{H}_{\underline{0}}$ when it is true
- Compute the probability $p$ that the event (corresponding statistics) occurs if $H_{0}$ is true.
- Reject null hypothesis if $p$ is less than the significance level


## Statistical Test: t-test

- Null Hypothesis: Sample is drawn from a normal distribution with mean $\mu$
- $t=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sqrt{\frac{s^{2}}{n}}}$


## Example: Study of men heights

Null Hypothesis, $H_{0}$ : Sample is drawn from general population of men with mean heights $=158 \mathrm{~cm}$

Sample size, $N=200$; Observed/sample mean $=169 \mathrm{~cm}$; sample variance $=2600$
$t \approx 3.05$
Critical value of $t$-statistics $= \pm 2.83$

Give your verdict

## Question: How to use t-test in this problem?

-What are my samples?

- What is sample size?
-What is sample mean?
-What is expected mean?


## Deciding sample answers all questions

- Consider corpus : collection of n-grams
- Samples: Indicator random variable corresponds to the target n-gram.
- Sample size: \# of n-grams
- $x_{i} \sim$ Bernoulli ( $p$ )


## Using $t$-test for finding collocations

- Text corpus as a sequence of $N$ bigrams
- $P\left(w_{i}\right)=\#$ of occurrences of word $w_{i} /$ total \# of words [MLE]
- $H_{0}: P\left(w_{i}, w_{j}\right)=P\left(w_{i}\right) * P\left(w_{j}\right)$ [occurrence of the two words are independent]
- Under null hypothesis, process of random occurrence of the bigram is a Bernoulli Trial with $p=P\left(w_{j}, w_{j}\right)=P\left(w_{i}\right) * P\left(w_{j}\right)$
- Mean, $\mu=p ;$ variance $=p(1-p) \approx p$
- Calculate $\bar{x}$ and std. dev.


## References

- Chapter 5 [FSNLP]

