

Section 1.1:

4. Let p and q be the propositions

p : I bought a lottery ticket this week.

q : I won the million dollar jackpot.

Express each of these propositions as an English sentence.

a) $\neg p$

b) $p \vee q$

c) $p \rightarrow q$

d) $p \wedge q$

e) $p \leftrightarrow q$

f) $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$

g) $\neg p \wedge \neg q$

h) $\neg p \vee (p \wedge q)$

10. Let p , q , and r be the propositions

p : You get an A on the final exam.

q : You do every exercise in this book.

r : You get an A in this class.

Write these propositions using p , q , and r and logical connectives (including negations).

- a) You get an A in this class, but you do not do every exercise in this book.
- b) You get an A on the final, you do every exercise in this book, and you get an A in this class.
- c) To get an A in this class, it is necessary for you to get an A on the final.
- d) You get an A on the final, but you don't do every exercise in this book; nevertheless, you get an A in this class.
- e) Getting an A on the final and doing every exercise in this book is sufficient for getting an A in this class.
- f) You will get an A in this class if and only if you either do every exercise in this book or you get an A on the final.

50. Are these system specifications consistent? “Whenever the system software is being upgraded, users cannot access the file system. If users can access the file system, then they can save new files. If users cannot save new files, then the system software is not being upgraded.”
54. What Boolean search would you use to look for Web pages about hiking in West Virginia? What if you wanted to find Web pages about hiking in Virginia, but not in West Virginia?
61. Steve would like to determine the relative salaries of three coworkers using two facts. First, he knows that if Fred is not the highest paid of the three, then Janice is. Second, he knows that if Janice is not the lowest paid, then Maggie is paid the most. Is it possible to determine the relative salaries of Fred, Maggie, and Janice from what Steve knows? If so, who is paid the most and who the least? Explain your reasoning.

Section 1.2:

32. Show that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ and $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$ are not logically equivalent.
40. Find a compound proposition involving the propositional variables p , q , and r that is true when p and q are true and r is false, but is false otherwise. [Hint: Use a conjunction of each propositional variable or its negation.]
50. In this exercise we will show that $\{\downarrow\}$ is a functionally complete collection of logical operators.
- Show that $p \downarrow p$ is logically equivalent to $\neg p$.
 - Show that $(p \downarrow q) \downarrow (p \downarrow q)$ is logically equivalent to $p \vee q$.
 - Conclude from parts (a) and (b), and Exercise 49, that $\{\downarrow\}$ is a functionally complete collection of logical operators.

Section 1.3:

20. Suppose that the domain of the propositional function $P(x)$ consists of $-5, -3, -1, 1, 3,$ and 5 . Express these statements without using quantifiers, instead using only negations, disjunctions, and conjunctions.
- a) $\exists x P(x)$
 - b) $\forall x P(x)$
 - c) $\forall x((x \neq 1) \rightarrow P(x))$
 - d) $\exists x((x \geq 0) \wedge P(x))$
 - e) $\exists x(\neg P(x)) \wedge \forall x((x < 0) \rightarrow P(x))$

Section 1.4:

8. Let $Q(x, y)$ be the statement “student x has been a contestant on quiz show y .” Express each of these sentences in terms of $Q(x, y)$, quantifiers, and logical connectives, where the domain for x consists of all students at your school and for y consists of all quiz shows on television.
- a) There is a student at your school who has been a contestant on a television quiz show.
 - b) No student at your school has ever been a contestant on a television quiz show.
 - c) There is a student at your school who has been a contestant on *Jeopardy* and on *Wheel of Fortune*.
 - d) Every television quiz show has had a student from your school as a contestant.
 - e) At least two students from your school have been contestants on *Jeopardy*.
24. Translate each of these nested quantifications into an English statement that expresses a mathematical fact. The domain in each case consists of all real numbers.
- a) $\exists x \forall y(x + y = y)$
 - b) $\forall x \forall y(((x \geq 0) \wedge (y < 0)) \rightarrow (x - y > 0))$
 - c) $\exists x \exists y(((x \leq 0) \wedge (y \leq 0)) \wedge (x - y > 0))$
 - d) $\forall x \forall y((x \neq 0) \wedge (y \neq 0) \leftrightarrow (xy \neq 0))$
39. Find a counterexample, if possible, to these universally quantified statements, where the domain for all variables consists of all integers.
- a) $\forall x \forall y(x^2 = y^2 \rightarrow x = y)$
 - b) $\forall x \exists y(y^2 = x)$
 - c) $\forall x \forall y(xy \geq x)$
44. Use quantifiers and logical connectives to express the fact that a quadratic polynomial with real number coefficients has at most two real roots.