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## Recap and Moving Forward

- Until Now
- Sentence segmentation, Tokenization
- Collocation
- Next
- Language Modeling: Generative model of language


## Understanding Language Modeling

## Language Modeling (LM)

- Assigning probabilities to
- possible next words
- sequence of words
- Word Prediction
- I am attending .....
- What is the difference between generative and ....
- Need to estimate $P\left(w_{n} / w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n-1}\right)$


## Applications

- Speech Recognition
- P(I saw a van) > P(I saw 7)
- Spell Correction
- P( study was conducted by students) > P(study was conducted be students)
- Other Application
- Machine Translation, Summarization, Augmentative communication system etc.
- Need to compute $P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots ., w_{n}\right)$


## Defining LM Formally

- We consider a vocabulary, a finite set denoted as $\mathcal{V}$, and a function $P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots ., w_{n}\right)$, such that
- For any $\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots ., w_{n}\right\rangle \in \mathcal{V}^{+}, p(w 1, w 2, w 3, \ldots, w n) \geq 0$
- $\Sigma p(w 1, w 2, w 3, \ldots, w n)=1$,
where $\mathcal{V}^{+}:\left\{S=w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} \ldots w_{n} \mid w_{i} \in \mathcal{V}\right\}$.


## How to compute $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}, . ., \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

- Our task is to compute
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{I}$, am, fascinated, with, recent, advances, in, AI)
- Chain Rule
- $P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots ., w_{n}\right)=P\left(w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{2} \mid w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{3} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \ldots . P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{1}, . ., w_{n-1}\right)$


## Estimating $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{w}_{1}, . ., \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$

- Could we just count and divide?

$$
P(e a t \mid I \text { want to })=\frac{\operatorname{count}(I \text { want to eat })}{\operatorname{count}(\text { I want to })}
$$

- What is the problem here?


## Estimating $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{w}_{1}, . ., \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$

- Too many possible sentences
- Data sparseness
- Poor generalizability


## Markov Assumption

- Simplifying assumption:

$$
P(\text { eat } \mid I \text { want to }) \sim P(\text { eat } \mid \text { to })
$$

or

$$
P(\text { eat } \mid I \text { want to }) \sim P(\text { eat } \mid \text { want to })
$$

## Markov Assumption

$$
P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots ., w_{n}\right) \sim \prod_{i} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-k}, \ldots ., w_{i-1}\right)
$$

i.e., Each component in the product is getting approximated by Markov assumption

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots ., w_{i-1}\right) \sim P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-k}, \ldots ., w_{i-1}\right)
$$

## N-gram Models

- Unigram: Simplest Model (does not depend on anything)

$$
P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots ., w_{n}\right) \sim \prod_{i} P(w i)
$$

- Bigram Model ( $1^{\text {st }}$ Order Markov model)

$$
P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots ., w_{n}\right) \sim \prod_{i} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)
$$

- Trigram Model ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ order Markov model)

$$
P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots ., w_{n}\right) \sim \prod_{i} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}, w_{i-2}\right)
$$

## N-gram Model: Issue

- Long-distance dependencies
"The computer which I had just put into the lab on the fifth floor crashed"


## Estimating the Probabilities

## Data

- Training
- Development
- Test


## Maximum Likelihood Estimate

- Unigram

$$
P\left(w_{i}\right)=\frac{c\left(w_{i}\right)}{K} ;
$$

K: Total number of tokens in training set

- Bigram

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{c\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{c\left(w_{i-1}\right)}
$$

- N-Gram

$$
P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-N+1}^{n-1}\right)=\frac{c\left(w_{n-N+1}^{n-1} w_{n}\right)}{c\left(w_{n-N+1}^{n-1}\right)}
$$

## Bigram Probabilities

| eat on | .16 | eat Thai | .03 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eat some | .06 | eat breakfast | .03 |
| eat lunch | .06 | eat in | .02 |
| eat dinner | .05 | eat Chinese | .02 |
| eat at | .04 | eat Mexican | .02 |
| eat a | .04 | eat tomorrow | .01 |
| eat Indian | .04 | eat dessert | .007 |
| eat today | .03 | eat British | .001 |

A fragment of bigram probabilities from the Berkeley Restaurant Project showing most likely word to follow eat

Source: Figure 6.2: Page 225, SLP

## Computing probability of a sentence

| <S> I . 25 | I want . 32 | want to . 65 | 6 | British food . 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <s> I'd . 06 | I would .29 | want a . 05 | to have . 14 | British restaurant . 15 |
| <s> Tell . 04 | I don't . 08 | want some . 04 | to spend . 09 | British cuisine $\quad .01$ |
| <s> I'm . 02 | I have . 04 | want thai .01 | to be . 02 | British lunch . 01 |

Figure 6.3 More fragments from the bigram grammar from the Berkeley Restaurant Project.

P(<s>| want to eat British food </s>) = P(I|<s>) P(want|I) P(to|want) P(eat|to) P(British|eat) P(food|British) P(</s>|food)

## Practical Issue

- Avoiding underflow
- Work in log space

$$
\log \left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right)=\sum \log p_{i}
$$

## Do we see any problem here?

- Bigram counts

|  | I | want | to | eat | Chinese | food | lunch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | 8 | 1087 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| want | 3 | 0 | 786 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| to | 3 | 0 | 10 | 860 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| eat | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 52 |
| Chinese | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 1 |
| food | 19 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| lunch | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

## Problem with MLE

|  | I | want | to | eat | Chinese | food | lunch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | .0023 | .32 | 0 | .0038 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| want | .0025 | 0 | .65 | 0 | .0049 | .0066 | .0049 |
| to | .00092 | 0 | .0031 | .26 | .00092 | 0 | .0037 |
| eat | 0 | 0 | .0021 | 0 | .020 | .0021 | .055 |
| Chinese | .0094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .56 | .0047 |
| food | .013 | 0 | .011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| lunch | .0087 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0022 | 0 |

## Problem with MLE

- Shakespeare as corpus
- $\mathrm{N}=884,647$ tokens; $\mathrm{V}=29066$
- Bigrams in corpus: 300,000 [Possible: V^2]
- $99.96 \%$ of possible bigrams never seen.
- What will happen with higher order?


## Problem: Continued

- Works well if test corpus is very similar to training, which is not generally the case.
- Training Set
...... denied the allegations
...... denied the reports
...... denied the claims
...... denied the request
- Test Set
.... denied the offer
.... denied the loan
P("offer" | denied the) $=0$


## Generalization and taking care of zero or low probability

- Smoothing Techniques: Next Lecture
- Evaluation of N-Gram Models: Next Lecture


## Reference

- Collins Lecture on Language Modeling
- Chapter 6-6.2: Speech and Language Processing [SLP], Jurafsky and Martin

